

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7
8 THE PEOPLE'S LEGISLATURE, et al.,

2:12-CV-272 JCM (VCF)

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 ROSS MILLER,

12 Defendant.
13

14 **ORDER**

15 Presently before the court is proposed intervenor-defendant, the Nevada Legislature's motion
16 seeking leave to file a supplemental response seeking remand.¹ (Doc. #29). Plaintiff has not filed
17 a response.

18 Good cause being shown, the Nevada Legislature's motion is granted. The court will take
19 note of the supplemental response (doc. #29-1) in determining whether this matter should be
20 remanded. Further, the court will grant plaintiffs an opportunity to respond to the supplemental
21 motion.

22 ...

23 ...
24
25

26 ¹ This court has not yet ruled on the Nevada Legislature's motion to intervene, rendering the
27 Nevada Legislature as a non-party. However, the court will construe the Nevada Legislature as
28 amicus curiae and accept its response and supplemental brief as amicus briefs. *See PEST Comm.*
v. Miller, 648 F. Supp.2d 1202, 1214 (D. Nev. 2009) (treating responsive documents filed by
proposed intervenor-defendants "as the equivalent of an amicus brief.").

1 Pursuant to the forgoing,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Nevada Legislature's
3 motion seeking leave to file a supplement (doc. #29) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs shall have up to, and including, Thursday, March
5 22, 2012, to file a response, if any, to the supplement.

6 DATED March 19, 2012.

7
8 
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE